

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/051,085	01/22/2002	Seiichirou Endou	3673-0128P	3443	
2292	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			GORDON, RAEANN		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
The bottom, with beautiful to the control of the co		3711			

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/051,085	ENDOU, SEIICHIROU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raeann Gorden	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-10 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/051,085

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoshi et al (JP 10-248958) in view of Oka (4,729,567). Regarding claims 1 and 8, Satoshi discloses a golf ball comprising a core and a cover. The hardness of the cover layer between 58 and 72 is an obvious feature since Satoshi discloses identical ionomers for the cover materials, Himilan 1557, 1605, 1652, 1705, 1706, 1707, 18855, 1856 and lotek 7010, 8000. The golf ball has a diameter of 42.7 mm (para 28). Regarding claim 2, the core has a deformation from 2.5 to 4.5 mm with an initial load of 10 kgf and a final load of 130 kgf. Regarding claims 3 and 4, the core layer comprises a 100 parts of a polybutadiene rubber, 15-45 parts by weight of zinc acrylate, 0.2 to 5 parts by weight of an organic peroxide, and 0.05 to 3 parts by weight of an organic disulfide compound. Satoshi discloses dimples on the golf ball but does not disclose at least 50% of the dimple having a contour length greater than 11.6. Applicant defines the contour length (x) as the diameter of the dimple (D) multiplied by π . Solving for D gives a diameter of at least 3.69 mm to provide a contour length of at least 11.6 mm. Table 2 of Oka teaches a golf ball wherein at 64.5% and 72% of the dimples have a

Application/Control Number: 10/051,085

Art Unit: 3711

contour length greater than 11.6 mm, embodiments 6 and 7. Regarding claims 9 and 10, Oka teaches from 372 and 432 dimples in embodiments 6 and 7, respectively. Regarding claim 12, Oka teaches 64.5% and 72% of the dimples have a contour length greater than 11.6 mm, embodiments 6 and 7. Regarding claims 1, 5, 6 and 7, the golf ball compressive deformation, initial velocity, and total distance of the golf all are obvious features of Satoshi in view Oka since the material make up is the same for each layer as shown by Satoshi and the dimple dimensions are shown by Oka. One skilled in the art would have modified Satoshi in view of Oka for enhanced flight characteristics.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/051,085

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3711

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg October 17, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER